Two-Round MPC without Round Collapsin^{Revisited} Towards Efficient Malicious Protocols

Rachel (Huijia) Lin¹ Tianren Liu²

¹University of Washington, Seattle

²Peking University, Beijing

CRYPTO 2022

Multi-Party Computation



Everyone learns $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$

The adversary learns nothing else

Bottleneck

- Bandwidth Communication complexity
- Latency The number of round ≥ 2
- Runtime Computation complexity

This	Work
1 1110	

- 2-round communication
- security w/ unanimous abort
- up to n-1 static corruptions
- ► GOAL: simplicity and efficiency
- black-box use of assumptions/field
- ▶ in correlated randomness model



widely used & \exists PseudorandomCG

assume PRG, RO and broadcast channel

 $\mathsf{NIZK} + \mathsf{semi-malicious} \text{ 2-round } \mathsf{MPC}$

Round collapsing [GGHR14,GP15,CGP15] assume iO [BL18,GS18,GIS18,BLPV18] malicious 2-round OT

MPC in the head [IKSS21]

Asymptotic Complexity

	communication complexity	assumption
[GIS18,IKSS21]	$ C \cdot poly(\lambda, n)$	2-round OT
This work	$O(C \cdot\lambda\cdot n^3)$	2-party correlated randomness
Constant-round [WRK17]	$O(C \cdot\lambda\cdot n^2)$	2-party correlated randomness
Many-round [SPDZ]	$O(C \cdot\lambda\cdot n)$	<i>n</i> -party correlated randomness

Main Ideas

Multi-Party Randomized Encoding [Applebaum-Brakerski-Tsabary]



Multi-Party Randomized Encoding [Applebaum-Brakerski-Tsabary]



Multi-Party Randomized Encoding [Applebaum-Brakerski-Tsabary]



honest-minority





To ensure $a_1a_2 = b_1 + b_2$ To hide info when $a_1a_2 \neq b_1 + b_2$

First attempt: if b want to hide info when $a_1a_2 \neq b_1 + b_2$ - b samples random r- let \hat{f} output $r(a_1a_2 - b_1 - b_2) + info$ degree-3, cannot computed by \hat{f}



To ensure $a_1a_2 = b_1 + b_2$ To hide info when $a_1a_2 \neq b_1 + b_2$

Second attempt: if \bigcirc want to hide info when $a_1a_2 \neq b_1 + b_2$ - \bigcirc samples random r_1, r_2 - let \hat{f} output $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 + b_2 \\ 1 & a_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} info \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ leak a_1, a_2 if \bigcirc is corrupted



Replace scalar OLE CR by matrix OLE CR $\vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{a}_2^T = B_1 + B_2$

if \overleftrightarrow{a} want to hide info when $\vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{a}_2^T = B_1 + B_2$ - $\overleftrightarrow{a}_1 \cdot \vec{a}_2^T \neq B_1 + B_2$ $\stackrel{\text{w.h.p.}}{\longleftrightarrow} \vec{v}_1^T \vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{a}_2^T \vec{v}_2 \neq \vec{v}_1^T (B_1 + B_2) \vec{v}_2$ $\iff \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1^T \vec{a}_1 & \vec{v}_1^T (B_1 + B_2) \vec{v}_2 \\ 1 & \vec{a}_2^T \vec{v}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ full-rank



Replace scalar OLE CR by matrix OLE CR $\vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{a}_2^T = B_1 + B_2$

if \bigcup want to hide info when $\vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{a}_2^T = B_1 + B_2$ - \mathcal{B} samples random \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 - \mathfrak{B} samples random r_1, r_2 - let \hat{f} output $\begin{bmatrix} \langle \vec{a}_1, \vec{v}_1 \rangle & \vec{v}_1^T (B_1 + B_2) \vec{v}_2 \\ 1 & \langle \vec{a}_1, \vec{v}_1 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} info \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\vec{v}_1^T (B_1 + B_2) \vec{v}_2 r_2$ is "degree-2" because \mathfrak{A} knows $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, r_2$ leak $\langle \vec{a}_1, \vec{v}_1 \rangle, \langle \vec{a}_2, \vec{v}_2 \rangle$ if B is corrupted

Fix 2: enforce honest preprocessing



To enforce 🕙 honestly preprocess

... shirk the duty to the next slide.

Our MPRE is "semi-malicious"



Observation:

The 2-round MPC for degree-2 \hat{f} in [LLW20] is "somewhat" maliciously secure.

In semi-honest setting, assume w.l.o.g. $\hat{f} = x_1x_2 + z_1 + z_2$



is malicious secure

- a weaker notion of security
- can be lifted to security $w/\ abort$

 c_i is a commitment of x_i - simulate $x_i = c_i - a_i$

Assume w.l.o.g. every coordinate of \hat{f} looks like $x_1x_2 + z_1 + z_2$







Assume w.l.o.g. every coordinate of \hat{f} looks like $x_1x_2 + z_1 + z_2$



matrix OLE correlated randomness $\vec{a}_1 \vec{a}_2^T = B_1 + B_2$

 \vec{c}_2 allows partial (linear) opening









Observation:

The 2-round MPC for degree-2 \hat{f} in [LLW20] is maliciously secure if output dim = 1.

Proof of **consistency** assumptions: matrix OLE CR & RO tech: linear opening, Fiat-Shamir

Proof of **well-formedness** assumptions: matrix OLE CR & RO tech: linear opening, Fiat-Shamir, linear proof

2-round malicious MPC for f



Semi-malicious MPRE for f

+

2-round MPC for \hat{f} that checks well-formedness

2-round MPC for f

11

- 2-round communication
- security w/ unanimous abort
- up to n-1 static corruptions
- ► GOAL: simplicity and efficiency
- black-box use of assumptions
- ▶ in correlated randomness model

- c.c. $O(|C| \cdot \lambda \cdot n^3)$ for circuit
- statistical secure MPC for arithmetic branching program, w/ black-box field access
- ► computation complexity ≈ communication complexity



widely used & \exists PseudorandomCG

assume PRG, RO and broadcast channel

Thanks for listening!